# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

§ CASE NO. 4:24-CV-02483 THOMPSON SAFETY, LLC

§ HOUSTON, TEXAS

§ THURSDAY,

\$ AUGUST 8, 2024 \$ 4:03 P.M. TO 6:14 P.M. JACE JONES, ET AL

## INJUNCTION HEARING (VIA ZOOM)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE GEORGE C. HANKS, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SEE NEXT PAGE APPEARANCES:

CASE MANAGER: KIMBERLY PICOTA

COURTROOM ERO: AARON JACKSON

#### TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE BY:

JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC 935 Eldridge Road, #144 Sugar Land, TX 77478 281-277-5325 www.judicialtranscribers.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

### APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: HAYNES & BOONE, LLP

Michael J. Lombardino, Esq.

Christina Gad, Esq. 1221 McKinney Street

Suite 4000

Houston, TX 77010

713-457-2301

FOR THE DEFENDANTS: HOPKINS CENTRICH, PLLC

Joseph P. Crescenzo, III, Esq.

8701 New Trails Drive

Suite 200

The Woodlands, TX 77381

281-210-0140

ALSO PRESENT: David Roesler

Lee Winkelman Jace Jones Justin Jackson

# INDEX

WITNESSES:	<u>Direct</u>	Cross	Redirect	Recross
DAVID ROESLER By Ms. Gad By Mr. Crescenzo By Mr. Winkelman	6	32 45	49	· ·
JACE JONES By Mr. Lombardino By Mr. Crescenzo	52 •	• 61	64	•
JUSTIN JONES By Mr. Winkelman By Mr. Lombardino	68	• 80	· •	

EXHIBITS:	<u>Admitted</u>
Exhibit 1	11
Exhibits 3 and 4	16
Exhibits 5 and 6	16
Exhibit 7	25
Exhibit 8	22
Exhibit 9	29

\*\*\*

### HOUSTON, TEXAS; THURSDAY, AUGUST 8, 2024; 4:03 P.M.

THE COURT: The next case on the Court's docket is Cause Number 4:24-CV-2483, Thompson Safety, LLC versus Jones, et al. Can counsel on the line or any of the parties that are going to be representing themselves or speaking on the line, if you could just introduce yourselves to the Court and state who you represent or who you are, starting with the Plaintiff's counsel.

MR. LOMBARDINO: Sure. Michael Lombardino on behalf of Thompson Safety, the Plaintiff. And I also have with me in my office is Christina Gad and Harry Phillips, and then David Roesler is a fact witness who's prepared to --

(Glitch in the audio from 4:03:39 to 4:04:32)

THE COURT: -- agreements that I know about?

MR. LOMBARDINO: Well, the parties did submit an agreed protective order and it tracks exactly your form order which is made available, I'm not sure. But so we would appreciate, even if it's not, quote/unquote, "signed" by you now but if maybe you could adopt it orally and that way there is confidential information that we can -- aren't confidential and use.

And also I wanted to make sure that no one -- no non-parties on the case because, you know, this will be a clear the courtroom type thing in our view, at least on the information we would need to actually discuss some of the

issues accordingly.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. So is there any -- and so there's agreement with respect to a protective order being needed in this case? Does everybody agree? Defendants?

MR. CRESCENZO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So if everyone's in agreement, then I will enter the protective order. It is Docket Entry Number -- one second -- I just need to pull it up -- well, I'll just have the minute entry reflect that the docket entry submitted by the parties in the Court -- to the Court is approved and the Court will enter the order this afternoon. So that's done.

Anything else that the parties agree on that might help us move forward this afternoon?

(No audible response.)

THE COURT: Okay. Then what I need you to do,

Counsel, with respect to the injunction hearing is I

understand the arguments, I understand the trade secret

issues, I understand the case law. All I need to do is hear

the parties' testimony and determine the credibility of that

testimony, and if I have any questions, I'll ask you for

argument, but other than that, that's how we'll proceed.

So if the movant would like to call their first witness.

JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC

MR. LOMBARDINO: Okay. Thank you.

1	And, Your Honor, I'd like to give courtroom		
2	experience to younger attorneys, and so Ms. Gad will be		
3	handling this part of the hearing if that's okay with you.		
4	THE COURT: Great. Welcome, Ms. Gad. It's all		
5	yours. You may call your first witness.		
6	MS. GAD: Thank you, Your Honor.		
7	I call Mr. David Roesler.		
8	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Roesler, if you could raise		
9	your right hand, sir.		
10	(Witness sworn.)		
11	THE COURT: Thank you.		
12	And you may place your hand down and Ms. Gad, you		
13	may proceed.		
14	MS. GAD: Thank you.		
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
16	BY MS. GAD:		
17	Q Mr. Roesler, can you please introduce yourself?		
18	A Yes, so I'm Dave Roesler, I am the Regional Business		
19	Director for Thompson Safety.		
20	Q And how long have you been employed with Thompson Safety?		
21	A I was employed October of 2020.		
22	Q And how long have you been the Regional Business		
23	Director?		
24	A Since April of 2023.		
25	Q And what are some of your job duties as Regional Business		

#### 1 Director?

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- A So I support the west region and our locations that are located in the West that we service first aid, safety and fire extinguishers.
- Q Okay. In your capacity as Regional Business Director did you supervise or oversee the Defendants, Mr. Jones and
- 8 A Yes.

Mr. Jackson?

- 9 Q Okay. And we'll come back to that. But turning to 10 Thompson's business, what is Thompson's business?
  - A Yes, so we're a van-delivered first aid and safety and fire company and so we protect customers, people and provide life safety equipment to protect their assets as well, and we do that with service technicians.
  - Q And where is Thompson's headquarters located?
  - A Houston, Texas.
    - Q And can you tell us a little bit more about the fire extinguisher business and what that entails?
    - A Yes, so it involves monthly and annual inspections and recertifications for fire extinguishers, maintenance of those at respective intervals, and taking care of the customer.
  - Q And does Thompson have locations outside of Texas?
- 23 A Yes.
  - Q Can you name just a few?
- 25 A Yes, so Albuquerque, New Mexico; Phoenix, Arizona;

Denver, Colorado; Missouri.

- Q And how does Thompson foster and build its goodwill with its customers?
- A Yes, so I guess a number of different ways. You know, we have flat-rate billing, you know, transparency of invoicing, you know, maintaining customer relationships. And so that comes from providing, you know, excellent customer service, and in our business we call that service excellence.
- Q And how does Thompson generate new business?
- A So we have an outside sales team that goes out and prospects new business and they in turn transition that trust over to our service organization that maintains that relationship and expands on it.
- Q And can you expand a little bit on who the service organization would be within your company?
- A Yes, so we have basically service representatives and fire technicians, both of which are customer-facing and service the customers on a routine basis, typically monthly.
- Q And how long would you say it takes Thompson to foster and build that goodwill with its customers?
- A I mean, I would say it takes quite a bit, it's not -- you can't just, you know, force a relationship. Right? So it's something that's built over time and managed and maintained at the service rep level.
- Q And turning back to the Defendants, with regards to

```
Mr. Jones what was his job title?
2
              He also was a fire service technician.
3
              And what are some of his job duties as a fire service
4
         technician?
5
              Yes, so he's the face of -- he's the face of Thompson for
6
         the customer. Right? So he is doing all the routine
7
         maintenance, he's tagging extinguishers, and then most
8
         importantly maintaining and building that customer
9
         relationship.
10
              And what about as to Defendant Mr. Justin Jackson?
11
              The same, yeah, he was a fire technician as well.
12
              And are you familiar with a company, Sterling Safety,
         0
13
         Sterling First Aid?
14
         Α
              Yes.
15
              Okay. And what is, or what was the relationship between
16
         Sterling Safety and Thompson?
17
              They were a local competitor that did really the exact
18
         same services that Thompson provided in the Houston market.
19
         0
              Okay.
20
                   MS. GAD: And I'm going to bring up on my screen, if
21
         I may, Your Honor, what has been marked as Exhibit 1.
22
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 identified.)
23
                   MS. GAD: Oh --
24
                   THE COURT: Can you hold --
25
                   MS. GAD: -- (indiscernible).
```

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Ms. Gad, can you hold on? Μv 2 case deputy just stepped out, so I can't get down there to 3 give you access to the screen. 4 Do you have it? 5 MS. GAD: Okay. 6 THE COURT: I don't think you do. 7 MS. GAD: I don't. It's saying it's not sharing. 8 THE COURT: Right. She'll be right back. I'm sorry 9 to interfere with your examination. We'll take a short 10 recess. 11 Well, is there anything else that you could talk 12 about before that or -- I don't want to cut you off. 13 MR. LOMBARDINO: For one I guess (indiscernible) 14 good place to pause it. You know, as part of the TRO there is 15 a turnover requirement for devices and accounts, and that did 16 not happen, and still has not happened. So I know you wanted 17 to dive right into the testimony, but at some point I would 18 like to address that issue. 19 THE COURT: Okay. My case manager's back, Ms. Gad, 20 so she's going to give you access to the screen. 21 MS. GAD: Okay. 22 THE COURT: And then you can call up your exhibit 23 when you're ready. 24 MS. GAD: I see it now. 25 (Pause in the proceedings.)

1

BY MS. GAD:

```
2
              May I call you Dave?
3
              Yes, yes, you can.
4
              Dave, can you see my screen where it's showing the Asset
5
         Purchase Agreement?
6
              I can.
7
              (Glitch in audio at 4:13:19 p.m. to 4:13:24 p.m.)
         BY MS. GAD:
8
9
               -- purchase agreement?
10
              Yes.
11
              And to the best of your knowledge is this a true and
12
         correct copy of the Asset Purchase Agreement as it relates to
13
         Thompson's acquisition of Sterling?
14
              Yes.
         Α
15
              And as far as you know is the Asset Purchase Agreement
16
         maintained by the company in the ordinary course of business?
17
         Α
              Yes.
18
                   MS. GAD: And, Your Honor, I'd move to introduce
19
         Exhibit 1 into evidence.
20
                   THE COURT: Does anyone have any objections?
21
                   UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No objection.
22
                   MR. CRESCENZO: No objection, Your Honor.
23
                   THE COURT: Without objection Exhibit 1 is admitted
24
         for purposes of this injunction hearing.
25
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 received in evidence.)
```

1 MR. LOMBARDINO: And, Your Honor, real quick for 2 housekeeping. Should I drop the exhibits into the chat or 3 what's the best way to distribute it among everyone? 4 THE COURT: So everyone doesn't have -- already have 5 a copy of the Asset Purchase Agreement? 6 MR. LOMBARDINO: No, Your Honor --7 THE COURT: It's a pretty critical document it seems like, but nobody -- okay. I guess the best way to do it is if 8 9 you have any questions about what's in the document, ask them 10 on cross-examination. 11 And, Ms. Gad, if you can provide a copy of that 12 document to everyone, at your convenience, not now because 13 you're in the middle of examination. 14 MS. GAD: Yes, Your Honor, I'll do that. 15 THE COURT: Okay. And so you may continue. 16 MS. GAD: Thank you. 17 BY MS. GAD: 18 And now I'm going to turn your attention to Page 1 of the 19 Asset Purchase Agreement. And specifically Article Q, 20 Purchase and Sale in Paragraph 2.1. 21 What is your understanding of Paragraph 2.1 titled 22 "Assets to be Transferred"? 23 That includes, or has a listing of all of the assets that 24 we acquired with the purchase of Sterling. 25 Q And turning to Page 3, scrolling down at Paragraph 0, and

```
1
         I'll give you a little time to review that, what is your
2
         understanding of what Paragraph O says?
3
              Yes, that there's assets, properties, rights that's on a
4
         schedule, that's 2.1.
5
            Okay. So I will --
6
                   (Pause in the proceedings.)
7
         BY MS. GAD:
8
              So I'm putting up on my screen what has been marked as
9
         Exhibit 2, which is titled the "Seller's Disclosure Schedule."
10
              Are you familiar with this document?
11
              Yes.
         Α
12
              And to the best of your knowledge is this a true and
13
         correct copy of the seller's Disclosure Schedule related to
14
         the Asset Purchase Agreement?
15
         Α
              Yes.
16
              And as far as you know is the seller's Disclosure
17
         Schedules maintained by the company in the ordinary course of
18
         business?
19
              Yes.
20
                   MS. GAD: And, Your Honor, I move to introduce
21
         Exhibit 2, the seller's Disclosure Schedule, into evidence.
22
                   THE COURT: Any objections to Exhibit 2?
23
                   MR. CRESCENZO: I'd object (indiscernible) without a
24
         copy it's hard to say. It's on the basis (indiscernible)
25
         copy prior to today. But other than that, that's my only
```

1 basis. 2 MS. GAD: I'm sorry, I couldn't really hear. 3 MR. CRESCENZO: It's the first time we're seeing the 4 document. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Well, can you just scroll down to 6 the very -- the signature block, and then -- just hold your 7 spot, but scroll down to the signature block of the document. 8 MR. LOMBARDINO: And just for the Record I did 9 receive an email and there's one too to defense counsel. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Great. 11 MS. GAD: The Disclosure Schedule doesn't have a 12 signature block. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Oh, that's true, it's an 14 attachment. Okay. Never mind. I was going to say if you 15 recognize the signatures, that this was attachment to. But 16 that's okay, I'm just going to let you go. 17 Respectfully the objection's overruled, and, 18 Ms. Gad, you can go back to what you were doing. 19 MS. GAD: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor. 20 BY MS. GAD: 21 And the pages are not numbered, but I'll turn to what is 22 the third page of Exhibit 2, which is the Schedule 2.10 that 23 we were just discussing. Is that correct? 24 Α Yes. 25 And what is your understanding of this first table here? Q

1	A That was the acquisition of Sterling First Aid and Fire				
2	Safety. We acquired enforceable non-compete and employee				
3	agreements filed with that purchase.				
4	Q And are Mr. Jackson's and Mr. Jones' non-compete				
5	agreements listed on this table?				
6	A They are.				
7	Q Okay. So based on the transaction documents we just				
8	reviewed, the Asset Purchase Agreement and the seller's				
9	disclosure, what is your understanding as to what happened				
10	with Mr. Jones' and Mr. Jackson's non-compete agreements after				
11	the acquisition?				
12	A That they were transferred and enforced by Thompson at				
13	Thompson Safety.				
14	MS. GAD: Okay. I'll stop sharing.				
15	And, Your Honor, the Sterling employment agreements				
16	at issue for Mr. Jones and Mr. Jackson have already been				
17	established by Mr. Roesler's Declaration. To save time would				
18	you allow us to admit them into evidence formally as				
19	Exhibits 3 and 4?				
20	THE COURT: Any objections?				
21	MR. CRESCENZO: I'm sorry, which exhibits were				
22	that was that?				
23	MS. GAD: They'll be Exhibits 3 and 4, the				
24	respectively, so the employment agreements from Sterling for				

Mr. Jones and Mr. Jackson.

1	MR. CRESCENZO: Okay. Thanks.
2	No objection. Yeah, no objection.
3	MS. GAD: And similarly, Judge
4	THE COURT: Without objections they're admitted.
5	MS. GAD: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: Without objections, they're admitted.
7	(Plaintiff's Exhibit Nos. 3 and 4 identified and received
8	in evidence.)
9	MR. LOMBARDINO: And for the Record, I'm emailing
10	Exhibits 3 and 4 right now.
11	MS. GAD: And similarly, Your Honor, the Thompson
12	employment agreements have already been established by
13	Mr. Roesler's Declaration. May we admit those formally as
14	Exhibits 5 and 6?
15	THE COURT: The witness (indiscernible)
16	MR. CRESCENZO: Okay. No objection.
17	THE COURT: Okay. They are admitted.
18	(Plaintiff's Exhibit Nos. 5 and 6 identified and received
19	in evidence.)
20	MR. LOMBARDINO: Your Honor, for the Record
21	Exhibits 5 and 6 have been emailed (indiscernible).
22	THE COURT: Do you need access, Ms. Gad, or
23	MS. GAD: No, I'm so sorry, it's it's not showing
24	up exactly how to share my screen.
25	Okay. I'm going to try it again. Sorry for the

1 technical difficulties. 2 THE COURT: No, no problem. 3 MS. GAD: Okay. BY MS. GAD: 4 5 So as to Exhibit 3, Mr. Roesler, I'm going to turn your attention to the third page of the agreement, the non-compete. 6 7 And so it's your understanding is the non-compete provision 8 contained here, that was acquired by Thompson through the 9 acquisition of Sterling. 10 Correct. 11 And similarly with Mr. Jackson. 12 Α Correct. 13 And so after the acquisition with Sterling what company 14 did Defendants Mr. Jackson and Mr. Jones work for? 15 For us, Thompson Safety. Α 16 And how did their job change after the transaction? 17 It didn't, they were -- they maintained fire technician 18 status and continued to service customers. 19 And did they continue servicing new customers? 20 Yeah, so they would also service Thompson Safety 21 customers, legacy Thompson Safety customers as well. 22 Okay. And as far as you know, you know, what documents 23 did the Defendants sign when they became employees of 24 Thompson?

They signed our employee agreements as well

(indiscernible).

Q And as far as you know what is the purpose of those employment agreements with Thompson?

A To protect our intellectual property, to protect our customers, you know, protect our business. Right? As well as really like allowing -- if there were any transitions for customers, really like a cool down period for us to continue building and maintaining that relationship.

THE COURT: Ms. Gad, can I -- can I just ask Mr. Roesler a quick question?

MS. GAD: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Roesler, in the covenants that we're talking about I notice that the radius was 200 miles. Can you tell me -- or can you understand why the number 200 was come up with? Was there a reason why it's 200 miles, as opposed to 50 or 100 or 500? Do you have any knowledge as to why it's 200?

THE WITNESS: To clarify are you -- you're talking about the Sterling agreement that we acquired?

THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: So the idea really behind a radius that large is we've got a lot of customers that have multiple locations, multiple sites outside of Houston. They could be up in Dallas, they could be, you know, in other states as well. And just, again, to protect -- protect our customers

1	and our interests.		
2	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roesler.		
3	Ms. Gad, you may continue.		
4	MS. GAD: Thank you.		
5	BY MS. GAD:		
6	Q As to Mr. Jones, when did you learn that he would be		
7	leaving Thompson?		
8	A End of March, or like I think I believe it was		
9	March 21st.		
10	Q Okay. And did he provide any sort of notice period?		
11	A He did a provide us 2-week notice.		
12	Q Did he withdraw that notice period?		
13	A He did not.		
14	Q So when was his actually last day of work at Thompson?		
15	A I believe it was March 25th.		
16	Q And then as to Mr. Jackson when did the company learn		
17	that he would be leaving Thompson?		
18	A What was that?		
19	Q As to Mr. Jackson when did the company learn that he		
20	would be leaving Thompson?		
21	A It was shortly thereafter. (Indiscernible).		
22	Q And so what did you discover after Mr. Jones' last day of		
23	employment with Thompson?		
24	A So I mean, we ended up finding a fire tag at one of		
25	from his new competing business, that he started his own		

competing business, and that he had emailed our confidential pricing files from Thompson Safety email to his personal email.

Q Okay. And let's go through Mr. Jones line-by-line. You mentioned that you discovery he'd formed his own company.

When did you discover that he started his own company?

A Almost right after he had left. We had -- we had discovery obviously with that -- with the fire tag that had his license number we were able to see that he is -- every fire technician has a Texas Fire Marshal Class B fire license and every company that does fire work has an ECR number, which is it's basically like your fire license for the company. And his license, his personal license was transferred to another ECR number which we ended up discovering was his business, Jones Fire and Safety.

Q And how did you learn that that was his business?

A Because we found the fire tag that had Jones on there, and then obviously we did a little bit more digging and then we found on the Secretary of State's website that he had created a new business in January.

Q Okay. And then you mentioned -- you mentioned the inspection tag that you discovered in March.

A Yes.

Q I'm going to share my screen again, I'm going to try.

(Pause in the proceedings.)

1 BY MS. GAD: 2 Okay. I'm bringing up my screen on what has been marked as Exhibit 8. 3 4 It doesn't scroll down, but can you tell me what this 5 document is? Yes, so these are pictures of fire extinguishers at 6 7 customer sites that we were attempting to go service on our 8 regular scheduled frequency and come to find out that they 9 were serviced by Jones Fire Protection before we were able to 10 complete the work. 11 Okay. Please --12 (Glitch in audio from 4:27:43 p.m. to 4:27:52 p.m.) 13 THE WITNESS: -- our North Houston location. 14 BY MS. GAD: 15 And as far as you know, the picture is an accurate 16 reflection of what was being photographed through the fire 17 technician's --18 Yes, yes. 19 -- tag? 20 Yes, so Andrew was able to pull the data, on the 21 left-hand side is meta data and I'm not going to pretend to 22 know all the nuances of that, but basically it's the 23 encryption of the picture so it proves where that picture was 24 taken because obviously you can't tell with the fire tag of

where that picture was taken, so this is the proof of where

1 that was taken. And, Your Honor, so I move to admit what MS. GAD: has been labeled as Exhibit 8 into evidence. 3 4 MR. CRESCENZO: No objection. 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Without objection the exhibit is 6 7 admitted. 8 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 8 received in evidence.) 9 MR. LOMBARDINO: And for the Record I just emailed 10 defense counsel Exhibit 8. 11 THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. Lombardino. 12 BY MS. GAD: 13 And so for this first inspection tag can you explain the 14 information contained on the tag and explain the significance 15 of the date? 16 Yeah, so if you notice the hole punch is on the right 17 hand side, it proves that there was maintenance done on the 18 extinguisher and that it was tagged March of 2024. So that 19 means the service was completed in March of 2024, strongly 20 indicating that this was probably tagged while he was still 21 employed at Thompson Safety. 22 Okay. And so after finding this initial inspection tag 23 in March, what steps did the company take to address the 24 issue?

So we -- obviously when we found out that he had done

- this, we were -- we were attempting to get in front of our customers to see if we could make sure that he wouldn't be able to steal any more customers.
  - Q Did you address the Defendants in any way about --
- 5 A Yeah --

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q -- about the issue of the different --
- A -- we did, we sent a cease and desist almost immediately after discovering this tag.
  - Q And what happened during the course of that cease and desist, did you get a response?
  - A Our attorneys spoke to his attorneys, yes.
  - Q And do you know what resolution the parties came to after that call?
    - A Yeah, so our management team at Thompson basically took like a wait and see approach, and so hoped that with that cease and desist letter that it wouldn't continue to make sure that we weren't having any more customers stolen from us, so we took a wait and see approach.
  - Q Okay. So even after that cease and desist and the communication with counsel, what did you continue to find?
  - A More fire tags at customers that we were going to service that had already been completed.
  - Q And what months were those for?
- A April, May and even into June.
  - Q And to be -- how many customers are you aware of that

1 Thompson has lost to Jones Fire? 2 At least 20. 3 And similarly with this March 2024 inspection tag, how 4 did Thompson discover that these customers were seen by Jones 5 Fire? 6 Because when our technicians would make our regularly 7 scheduled visits to go see these customers, they had already 8 been completed. 9 And then you mentioned that you discovered he emailed 10 himself a pricing list. 11 (Pause in the proceedings.) 12 THE COURT: Do you need access, Ms. Gad, or --13 MS. GAD: I have it. Sorry, it's just --14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MS. GAD: -- it's a little slow to pull up. 16 THE COURT: No problem. 17 BY MS. GAD: 18 Okay. So I'm bringing up my screen at what has been 19 marked Exhibit 7. 20 Do you recognize this document? I do. 21 Α 22 And what is it? 0 23 this is the email that Jace sent from his Thompson Safety 24 email address to his personal email address with our 25 confidential pricing information and pricing file.

1 Okay. And is this a true and correct copy of that email 2 and Thompson's pricing list? 3 Α Yes. 4 And was this document maintained in the ordinary course 5 of business? 6 Yes. 7 MS. GAD: And, Your Honor, I move to admit what has been marked as Exhibit 7 into evidence. 8 9 MR. CRESCENZO: Your Honor --10 THE COURT: Any objections? 11 MR. CRESCENZO: -- yes, Your Honor, I object. This 12 is Joe Crescenzo for Defendant Jace Jones. I believe that the 13 confidential marking on that document is not on the document 14 that was previously provided to Mr. Jones. I believe it has 15 been added for the purposes of this lawsuit after the fact. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. CRESCENZO: I would object to it being a true 18 and correct copy. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm going to overrule the 20 objection, allow the document admitted, and then on cross-21 examination or on direct you can take up the issue, either 22 with Mr. Roesler or with -- when your client takes the stand. 23 But for now the objection is overruled and the document is 24 admitted.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7 received in evidence.)

THE COURT: And the other thing is, well, whether it's marked confidential or not, that document, if it was recieved, has all the hallmarks that the 5th Circuit said that indicates that the document would be confidential. It's not just names, I mean, it's pricing information, specific items, this is -- even if it wasn't marked confidential, it would be confidential. So, but you guys can take it up on cross-examination.

MR. CRESCENZO: Thank you.

I intend to take it up on cross. Thank you.

MR. LOMBARDINO: And I can clear that -- just clear it up so there's no misunderstanding, and if you still want to take it up on cross, you can. But it was marked confidential today for purposes of the protective order. So we're not contending that it was -- had been stamped confidential before the hearing. That's really the -- but they can say what they want to.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CRESCENZO: Well, right, and that was the objection, Your Honor. I wasn't objecting that it couldn't be confidential information otherwise. I just wanted to clarify what Mr. Lombardino said, and that's --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CRESCENZO: -- that's sufficient for me. Thank you.

1 THE COURT: Sounds great. 2 Okay. Ms. Gad, you're still on. 3 MR. LOMBARDINO: Oh, one more just for the Record, I 4 emailed Exhibit 7 to defense counsel. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Lombardino. BY MS. GAD: 6 7 And, Dave, when did you discover that Mr. Jones emailed 8 himself this pricing list? 9 Right after he was -- basically after he was terminated 10 when we started doing digging where we found tags and wanted 11 to kind of understand, okay, if he started his own business, 12 what else, you know, what else was there. Right? 13 And is there any business reason why a fire technician 14 like Mr. Jones would need to email himself a pricing list to 15 his personal email account? I mean, nothing that I can think of, unless you wanted to 16 17 start your own company and, you know, undercut your previous 18 employer by a couple of bucks to gain business. 19 And that gets to my next question, which is like how 20 would, you know, the unauthorized use or disclosure of this 21 pricing list harm Thompson? 22 I mean, significantly. Right? I mean, if -- it allows 23 them to break trust with our customers and be able to have 24 conversations about, you know, anything really related to our 25 pricing. I mean, that's confidential information.

- Q And how much time and money was spend developing this pricing list?
- A You know, quite a bit, but it's ongoing, too. Right?
  We, you know, we spend a lot of time with our pricing on an annual basis making sure that we're competitive in the market, making sure that we're doing our best by our customers. And so our executive leadership team meets, and I mean, you saw the line items there, we're going through those individually making sure we're looking at our costs and understanding what our margins are, so quite a bit.
- Q And so you mentioned that inspection tags were found at Thompson customer sites. To the best of your knowledge how would Defendants know to service these customers for their annual inspections?
- A So customers are on a scheduled frequency, so unless they've got -- you know, they can memorize dates and customers and all that kind of stuff. We have a -- that we acquired with Sterling, route cards, and so these route cards had a ton of information on them and basically allows -- gives you when the customer is due and all sorts of other information.
- Q And I am bringing up on my screen what has been marked as Exhibit 9.

Do you recognize this document?

A I do.

Q And is this a copy of a customer route card that you were

```
1
         just referring to?
2
         A It is -- that is correct. This is the first account that
3
         we I think realized we lost.
4
              And is this a true and correct copy of an example of a
5
         customer route card?
6
         Α
           Yes.
7
         Q And is this maintained in the ordinary course of
8
         business?
9
         Α
             Yes.
10
                   MS. GAD: And so, Your Honor, I would move to admit
11
         Exhibit 9 into evidence.
12
                   MR. CRESCENZO: No objection.
13
                   UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No objection, Your Honor.
14
                   THE COURT: Without objection the exhibit is
15
         admitted.
16
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 9 received in evidence.)
17
         BY MS. GAD:
18
         Q And so, Mr. Roesler, can you -- can you walk us --
                   MR. LOMBARDINO: I've emailed Exhibit 9 to defense
19
20
         counsel.
21
                   MS. GAD: Thank you.
22
                   MR. LOMBARDINO: Sorry, Ms. Gad.
23
         BY MS. GAD:
24
              Can you walk us through the information contained in this
25
         customer route card?
```

A Yeah, so it has the customer name obviously, bill to address of where that customer is billed, and contact names and numbers, it obviously shows first invoice dates, what was on the initial order, this one particularly shows the number of fire extinguishers that that customer has, special instructions, so this has pricing so what the annual cost is, service charges, all that good stuff.

And then it has most importantly the schedule on the bottom so you know when to service that customer. So if you look at the left-hand side, it shows March obviously dating back to 2015. These customers -- this customer is serviced in March.

#### Q Thank you.

And so walk us through kind of up to present day. Have you received any report of Defendant's activity even after the TRO was entered in this case?

A Yes. So we had a customer reach out to us after the TRO was in place that basically said, you know, that Jace had called them, gave them a sob story of how, you know, Thompson Safety is suing him to try to gain that business. Also mentioned that we used unlicensed fire technicians to service fire extinguishers, and, you know, tried to -- tried to run that business even after the TRO was in place.

Q And so with the discovery of, you know, the inspection tags and getting information from this client about they're

1 post-TRO activity, how has Thompson been harmed by Defendant's actions? 2 3 I mean, I would say a couple of different things. Right? 4 Our reputation, if you have somebody -- you know, your 5 competitor running around telling you that -- you know, lies 6 about our service technicians doing -- our fire service 7 technicians doing work without licensing, obviously our 8 competitors having our pricing and confidential information, 9 and most importantly our customer relationships. Right? 10 mean, we have -- we now have customers that may never do 11 business with us again based either on a lie or understanding 12 of pricing and they may never come back. 13 Thank you, Mr. Roesler. 14 MS. GAD: Your Honor, I pass the witness. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, before we have cross-16 examination. 17 (Pause in the proceedings from 4:41:15 p.m. to 18 4:43:15 p.m. as Judge attends another case.) 19 THE COURT: Okay. Counsel for the Defendants, each of you may cross Mr. Roesler at this time. Just tell me who's 20 21 going to start so I can keep a clean Record. 22 MR. CRESCENZO: Thank you, Judge. 23 This is, again, Joe Crescenzo for Defendant Jace 24 Jones. I'll begin the cross-examination. 25 THE COURT: Okay. You may -- oh, Counsel, you need

1 to speak up because the ERO can barely hear you. So either 2 you need to move the microphone closer to you or speak up so 3 we can keep the Record. 4 MR. CRESCENZO: I apologize. Is this better? Can 5 you hear me better? 6 THE COURT: Yes. Perfect. 7 MR. CRESCENZO: Okay. I was speaking too softly. 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. CRESCENZO: 10 So, Mr. Roesler, it's my understanding that in this case 11 one of the bits of confidential information that you believe 12 that Thompson has is the fact that you -- that Thompson 13 services certain businesses for their fire extinguisher needs. 14 Is that correct? 15 Can you repeat that? Α 16 Yes, sir, I apologize. 17 You've indicated that the identity of Thompson's 18 customers is a -- is confidential information that you are 19 seeking to keep confidential. Is that correct? 20 The identity of our customers? Α 21 Yes, sir. It's my understand that's one of the bits of 22 confidential information that --23 I would say -- are you referring to like -- like the 24 customers themselves?

Yes, yes, sir, because I mean, you've -- you've alleged

1 that the Defendants have taken the confidential information 2 which includes the identity of their customer -- of your -- of 3 Thompson's customers so they know who to go service. So my 4 understanding is --5 THE COURT: Let me just cut to the -- let me -- let 6 me just cut to the chase, my understanding is that's not what 7 the pleadings say. The pleadings -- it's not just the 8 customers, it's the customers and the information relating to

Because I've read this, the motion, it's not the names, it not the identity alone, it's the identity plus the information regarding Mr. Roesler's company'S servicing of those customers. So I mean, I'm just trying to move it along. I get what your argument or your question is, but that's not what he said and it's not what's in the pleadings.

those customers. So it's not -- the name of the customer is

The pleadings say --

not what Mr. Roesler is contending about.

MR. CRESCENZO: Okay.

THE COURT: -- it's not the identity alone, it's the identity plus the information related those individuals --

MR. CRESCENZO: I understand this, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- (indiscernible).

MR. CRESCENZO: I completely understand that, Your Honor, and I was simply -- given the time of day, I will endeavor to speed things up, I was simply trying to walk him

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

through his testimony. He told --

THE COURT: No, no problem. No problem. You go as long as you need.

MR. CRESCENZO: Thank you, Judge.

#### BY MR. CRESCENZO:

Q The point that I was trying to make is we were shown an exhibit of a fire tag on a fire extinguisher that is one way in which Thompson discovered that Jones Fire had serviced particular customers, and that from looking at the photo it looked like there was additional information on that tag as well, which included when the customer was last serviced.

And so my question would be, if Thompson was able to find that information by simply walking into their -- who they believe their customers were, how that information could be considered confidential, and so my question is, how -- why would you believe that that information is confidential?

A It would be amazing if you can walk into a customer at

- A It would be amazing if you can walk into a customer at any given point and find a customer tag. These are customers that we had scheduled frequencies that we were meant to go to service, and they were already done first hand.
- Q But couldn't you walk into -- well, first of all, don't most businesses and buildings, aren't they required by law to have fire extinguishers in various areas of the building, in public areas?

A Yes.

1 Well, then what would prevent anyone from doing this 2 business, from walking in and discovering that particular type 3 of information? 4 What would prevent them? 5 0 Correct. 6 I guess nothing but I think the biggest point there is 7 we're talking about 20 customers that we serviced that when we 8 showed up to service were already completed by Jones Fire 9 Protection. 10 Did you have contracts with those customers? 11 We acquired those customers with the acquisition of 12 Sterling. 13 Okay. Did -- so as part of the Asset Purchase Agreement, 14 I haven't had a chance to read it, but is it your contention 15 that there were customers with contracts that were included as 16 part of that agreement? 17 So I would say that --18 MR. LOMBARDINO: Objection; calls for legal 19 conclusion. 20 THE COURT: I'm sorry, I couldn't --21 MR. LOMBARDINO: Sorry. I said, objection, it calls 22 for a legal conclusion. 23 MR. CRESCENZO: I believe he's already testified 24 that there were contracts that came with the Asset Purchase

25

Agreement.

THE COURT: Yeah, I'm going to overrule the objection. I think Mr. Roesler can answer the question. It doesn't really call for a legal conclusion, but he's not being asked about the legal effect of the contracts, he's just asking what his understanding of what he got out of the acquisition.

So, objection is overruled, Mr. Roesler can answer the question.

THE WITNESS: So it's my understanding that we, when we acquired these customers there may or may not have been contracts in place.

#### BY MR. CRESCENZO:

- Q Okay. So as we sit here today you cannot testify one way or the other whether or not the Asset Purchase Agreement included contracts for Sterling customers?
- A We acquired customers with Sterling on scheduled frequencies, and -- but whether or not they had contracts -- Q Thank you.

So the customers -- to your knowledge the customers were free to leave at any time if they were unhappy with Thompson services?

- A Yeah.
- Q Did any of those customers -- were they required to your knowledge to sign a non-disclosure agreement with respect to pricing? And I will clarify, when I mean, pricing, that the

pricing that they were getting charging by Thompson or

Sterling?

- A So you're talking about our confidential pricing?
- 4 Q Yes.

- A And the question is, one more time?
  - Q The question is were they required to sign any documents that prevented them from disclosing that information to third parties?
  - A No.
- Q So I understand that one part of Thompson's business is the fire extinguisher business. That's correct?
  - A Yes.
    - Q And then looking at your affidavit in support of the injunction, you had also listed first aid, safety supplies, and personal protective equipment, automated external defibrillators, eye wash, fire extinguishers, other protective fire protection services, training services and overall management of their safety programs.

My question is given the -- what percentage, and I know you won't have a precise amount, but what would you estimate is the amount of work that Mr. Jones and Mr. Jackson did relative to the whole scope of Thompson's business interests?

- A What percent?
  - Q Yeah, because it's -- I know Thompson seems to provide more services than what the Defendants provided. So I was

- curious as to how much of Thompson's business was generated by 2 the jobs performed by the Defendants.
  - So we have -- we have 12 routes that are north of Houston so whatever 2 out of 12 is. Maybe we had 13 at the time, I'd have to go back and check, but North Houston had 13 reps -routes, and they were 2 of them.
    - Okay. And is that simply for the fire extinguisher services that you're talking about?
    - No, for the fire extinguishers they worked 50 percent.
    - Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Because we had 2 other service reps -- 2 other fire technicians.
- Okay. Okay. Now you had mentioned, going back to the Sterling agreement for a second, that the reasoning for the 200-mile radius for -- of non-competition was based on protection of goodwill and things of that nature. That's correct, just so I'm restating your testimony accurately?
- I recall the 200 miles is for the -- if we have a customer that's, you know, in Conroe, Texas, and has other locations outside of that, you know, there's a potential to lose that business as well.
- Okay. So that's your understanding of the Sterling agreement. But did you -- do you have personal knowledge of -- were you personally involved in writing the Sterling agreement?

- 1 A I was not.
- 2 Q Thank you.

7

8

9

12

13

14

19

So I understand you may have your reasons for trying to

enforce that, but you don't know specifically why Sterling had

that specific range in their agreement?

- A (No audible response.)
- Q Did Thompson provide the Defendants with a phone?
- A No, we provided a cell phone stipend.
- Q Okay. Did you provide them with a personal computer?
- 10 A I do not believe -- there was one at the -- there was a couple of computers like at the location, but, no.
  - Q Okay. Did they manage anybody, meaning did they have anybody under them?
  - A Besides the management of the customers?
- 15 Q Yes, besides that.
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Did all of the employees at Thompson, were they required 18 to sign a confidentiality agreement?
  - A An employee agreement, yes.
- Q And to your knowledge is the -- is the scope of that noncompetition agreement the same?
- 22 A To my knowledge.
- Q Is it your testimony that if you're providing the same
  amount of confidential information to everybody in the company
  that it's really confidential information?

- A I don't understand the question.
- Q So, okay, let me -- let me back up.

Did you provide -- are all of the employees of Thompson provided with the same confidential information as the others?

- A Repeat that one more time.
- Q I'm sorry.
- A Yeah.

Q Were Mr. Jones and Mr. Jackson provided with confidential information that differs from the information that may have been provided to other employees who signed the same employee agreement? To your knowledge.

MS. GAD: Your Honor, I object to the relevance of that question. We're only here looking at Mr. Jones' and Mr. Jackson's employment agreements.

MR. CRESCENZO: Your Honor --

THE COURT: The objection's overruled. The objection's overruled. I don't need to hear argument.

I get your argument is, if it's confidential -- if it's confidential information, shouldn't it be confidential to everyone, not specific people if it's truly confidential.

That's what you're trying to get at. So I just need to get an answer.

THE WITNESS: So, yeah, so if that's the question, then, yes, everybody has the same confidentiality agreement.

BY MR. CRESCENZO:

1 Okay. And everyone was provided with the same confidential information? 2 3 THE COURT: Well, that doesn't make sense --4 THE WITNESS: No. 5 THE COURT: -- because, yeah, that -- yeah, okay, 6 never mind. The answer's clearly no because people have 7 different information based on where they worked in the firm. 8 I mean --9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 10 THE COURT: -- but --11 BY MR. CRESCENZO: 12 Okay. So looking at the confidential information that 13 was listed in Paragraph 16 of your affidavit, Mr. Roesler, you 14 indicated that the Defendants were provided with information 15 about Thompson's business and business model. Correct? 16 I don't recall that specific paragraph or, you know --17 Okay. Well, would you agree with that statement, that 18 they were provided with information about the business model 19 of Thompson? 20 Α Yes. 21 Okay. And supplier and pricing information, you would 22 agree that they were provided with that information as well? 23 Yeah. 24 And customer information including customer preferences, 25 order history, pricing and margin information?

- 1 A Yes.
- Q Can you point to a business purpose why the technicians would need information on margins?
- 4 A The question is why do they need information on margins?
- 5 Q Yes, sir.
- A To have margin integrity so we're selling things above cost to --
- 8 Q Did they have any control over the pricing?
- 9 A Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

- 10 Q Okay. So they had discretion on setting the pricing for specific customers.
- 12 A Because of the acquisition of Sterling there were differences.
  - Q What kind of differences?
- 15 A Because we acquired those customers.
- Okay. So the differences in pricing? I'm sorry --
- 17 A Yeah --
  - Q -- I'm just trying to clarify. You said that they were differences, and they were based on the fact that you acquired the Sterling customers. And the pricing -- I'm thinking the difference that you're talking about is the difference in the pricing between Sterling and the Thompson customers. Is that -- is that a fair statement?
- 24 A Yeah.
- Q Okay. Were the Defendants responsible for acquiring new

1 customers?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

21

22

23

- 2 A No, they were not.
- Q Okay. My understanding is there's an account rep team that was responsible for that?
  - A Yes, we have an outside sales team.
    - Q Is there any special way that Thompson requires its technicians to service fire extinguishers?
    - A Ask that again, please?
  - Q Is there any special way that Thompson requires its technicians to service fire extinguishers?
  - A We, I mean, we do things sometimes a little bit different than our competition.
- 13 Q Okay. So the answer -- then your answer is yes.
- 14 A Yeah.
- 15 Q And I'm assuming that you're referring to pricing. As
  16 far as the technical aspect of servicing the extinguishers is
  17 there anything special about what Thompson requires the
  18 technicians to do?
  - A A little bit, yeah. So we do an even exchange program which is -- which is like an even exchange opt-out of extinguishers versus like doing them in the field. So we have some special ways to go about doing that, yes.
  - Q Okay. Do you believe that Jones and his business does the even exchange that you just described?
- 25 A I'm not sure.

```
1
              I don't have too much more, Mr. Roesler.
2
              So regarding the pricing list that Mr. Jones emailed to
3
         himself, you don't have any knowledge that he used any of that
4
         pricing at Jones Fire, do you?
5
              I understand -- I actually haven't.
6
              Do you require your technicians to know Thompson's
7
         pricing?
8
              Yeah, they know it when they're servicing customers.
9
              Had pricing -- had pricing recently changed since this
10
         was in January of 2024?
11
              Yes.
         Α
12
              Is there anything -- was Mr. Jones ever instructed not to
         0
13
         email himself the pricing list?
14
              Personal email?
         Α
15
         0
              Correct.
16
              Yeah, it's inappropriate.
17
              Would it be inappropriate if he did not misuse it and
18
         just printed it out to use it for help servicing Thompson's
19
         customers?
20
              Yeah, I think it's inappropriate.
         Α
21
              Okay. But -- okay.
         0
22
                   MR. CRESCENZO: Thank you Mr. Roseler.
23
                   I'll pass the witness at this time.
24
                   THE COURT: Next defendant? Counsel, for the next
25
         defendant. You may proceed when ready.
```

1 (Court attends an unrelated matter from 5:04 p.m. to 2 THE COURT: Okay, I think we've got everyone taken 3 care of. Okay. You may proceed with your examination of the 4 witness. 5 MR. WINKELMAN: Sure. Lee Winkleman, counsel for 6 Justin Jackson. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. WINKELMAN: 9 Mr. Roesler, did I say that last name correctly? I want 10 to make sure I got it right. I don't want to butcher it. 11 Roesler. 12 Α It's Roesler. 13 Wrestler, like wrestling. 14 Lone Star Wrestler. Α 15 0 Even better. Excellent. 16 Does Thompson have any specific policies concerning the 17 use of its computer systems? 18 Α Yes. 19 Okay. And -- but those aren't in evidence in this TI 20 hearing, are they? 21 (No audible response.) 22 Have any of those policies been submitting into evidence 23 such as temporary injunction hearing? 24 Not that I'm aware of. Α 25 Q Okay. And where are these policies, where do they live?

1 In Sharepoint. Α Okay, what's Sharepoint? 3 It's our internal password protected like document 4 storage, if you will. 5 And so is does it contain like an employee handbook, the 6 policies? 7 I believe so. 8 Do you require employees to sign off on acknowledgment 9 that they've read it and understand it? 10 We have employee agreements that are required to be 11 signed off. 12 MR. WINKELMAN: Objection, non-responsive. 13 BY MR. WINKELMAN: 14 Do you require the employees to sign off on an 15 acknowledgment saying that they've read the policies related 16 to the computer system? 17 I'm not sure what it exactly says that they sign off on. 18 Okay. Regardless, we -- there have been no 19 acknowledgmentS signed by either Defendants in this case in 20 evidence, correct? 21 Apart from their employee agreement? 22 There's been no acknowledgment that they've Correct. 23 read and signed any policies related to the company's computer

system or read and understood?

Not that I'm aware of.

24

25

Α

1	Q Okay. Is it your understanding that the Thompson
2	non-compete that it would prevent Jones Fire for doing
3	business with Thompson customers regardless of who solicited?
4	Let me know if you need me to clarify that.
5	A Yeah, for sure.
6	Q Okay. So does to your knowledge based on your reading
7	of it, does it prevent Jones Fire from doing business with
8	customers that solicited them for business?
9	A I would have to go back and read it.
10	Q Okay. Wouldn't you agree that if it does, if it does
11	prevent them from doing work if customers who solicit them
12	that it would be unreasonable?
13	A No, I don't necessarily think that's the case. They are
14	Thompson's customers.
15	Q Okay, but if the customer no longer wants to do business
16	with Thompson, and they would prefer to work with Jace or
17	Justin why prevent the customer from working with them?
18	A Is that because of our because they know our pricing.
19	Because they what would be the reason there.
20	MR. WINKELMAN: Objection, non-responsive.
21	BY MR. WINKELMAN:
22	Q I'll ask the question real simply. If a customer no
23	longer wants to work with Thompson and would prefer to work
24	with Jace Jones, why prevent the customer what interest
25	does Thompson have in preventing the customer from working

with them?

- A Because we could still potentially save that customer.
- Q You could -- right. You could potentially save the customer, but the customer has lost their goodwill with Thompson, correct? If they don't want to do business --
- A In the scenario -- in this fabricated scenario? Sure.
- Q Okay. It wouldn't be necessary to protect the goodwill of the customer at that point.
- A No, we're protecting the interest of our customers.
- Q So would -- my question is pretty straightforward. Is in necessary to protect the goodwill between Thompson and its customers to prevent customers who no longer want to do business with Thompson, to do business with Jace Jones?
- A It's a pretty loaded question if I'm being honest.
- Q Can you answer it?

THE COURT: Yeah, it doesn't make sense. I mean, your question presumes that there's -- that -- I'm going to let you guys take it. ButI'm going to object. The objection is the question assumes facts not in evidence. And is vague.

So if you can answer it, more power to you. I couldn't answer it because it assumes facts that had not been established yet. One of the factors it assumes that the customers want to leave based on nothing other than the fact that they want another provider. That's never been established.

1	MR. WINKELMAN: Right, I'm asking it as a
2	hypothetical.
3	THE COURT: You guys but you guys continue. It's
4	okay. I'm just pointing out that I know you didn't object
5	Ms. Gad, but I'm objecting because I'm trying to figure out
6	the facts that I need to make the call with respect to whether
7	or not to issue an injunction.
8	None of that question doesn't help me get
9	doesn't get me there.
10	MS. GAD: I understand. It was presented as a
11	hypothetical so it confused me.
12	MR. WINKELMAN: It was a hypothetical. It's a
13	hypothetical situation, Your Honor. I'm sorry if I wasn't
14	clear enough on that.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR. WINKELMAN: Okay, well I'm not going to belabor
17	that point any more. I'll pass the witness.
18	THE COURT: Okay. If you could just speak up a
19	little bit, counsel. Everyone, it's still hard for me to hear
20	over here.
21	Okay, Ms. Gad, Redirect?
22	MS. GAD: Yes, thank you.
23	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. GAD:
25	Q Dave, does Thompson consider its customers' identities

1 and it's customer pricing confidential? 2 Α Yes. 3 And how could you do business with a customer if you 4 couldn't share your pricing with them? 5 Well, you couldn't. They wouldn't know what they're paying for the services that we provide. 6 7 And are you aware of any customer of Thompson that has 8 disclosed your pricing? 9 Α No. 10 MS. GAD: And let's see. Hold on for one second. 11 I think that's all I have, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Defense counsel, you may ask any other 13 questions. 14 MR. CRESCENZO: I have no further questions, Judge. 15 MR. WINKELMAN: I have no further questions, Your 16 Honor. 17 THE COURT: Great, well, Mr. Roesler, I think let me 18 just back and ask you a quick question. I think the 19 hypothetical that they were trying to ask you -- the defense 20 counsel was trying to ask you is if customers wanted to leave 21 on their volition, that is they just wanted to go to someone 22 else and nothing had been done to influence them that was 23 unlawful, would you be okay with those customers leaving your 24 company and going with someone else?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. We'd have to be. But I think

1 there's a lot of -- I think there's a lot of gray area in that 2 question. 3 THE COURT: Right, okay. I agree with you. I just wanted to get -- that's what they were trying to ask you. 4 5 MR. WINKELMAN: You got it right, Your Honor. 6 (Witness steps down.) 7 THE COURT: Okay, great. Okay, then Ms. Gad, you 8 may call your next witness. 9 MR. LOMBARDINO: And I'm actually handle this one. 10 I think we're going to call Mr. Jace Jones, unless he intends 11 (indiscernible) we can just go ahead and call him now after 12 questions and they can ask questions. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Well, here's the deal with the 14 witnesses. When it's been -- when the witness is called, you 15 take the witness and get whatever questions. And then defense 16 counsel you put on your case-in-chief through the witness once 17 they're called. 18 We're not going to have the witness called for 19 direct on one side and then later called for the other side. 20 So once the witness is called, everybody is going to take the 21 witness for all purposes. 22 MR. WINKELMAN: That makes sense. 23 THE COURT: Okay, so Mr. Lombardino, you can call 24 your witness and we can get going.

MR. LOMBARDINO: Okay. Plaintiff calls Mr. Jones to

1	the stand.
2	(Pause in the proceeding.)
3	THE COURT: If you could, Mr. Jones, raise your
4	right hand.
5	(Witness sworn.)
6	THE COURT: Okay, you may place your hand down.
7	And Mr. Lombardino, you may proceed.
8	MR. LOMBARDINO: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. LOMBARDINO:
11	Q Mr. Jones, do you admit signing the employment agreement
12	with Sterling?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And you admit signing an employment agreement with
15	Thompson Safety?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And your last day with Thompson Safety was March 28th,
18	2024?
19	A Repeat that?
20	Q Was the last day that you worked for Thompson Safety
21	March 28th, 2024?
22	A No, sir. It was March 27th.
23	Q It's my understanding you gave notice on March 25th.
24	A No, no, no. I gave notice
25	MR. CRESCENZO: Let him finish the question for you

- before you answer.

  before you answer.

  BY MR. LOMBARDINO:
- ${\tt Q}$  On March -- to the best of your recollection your last
- 4 working with Thompson Safety was March 25th, 2024?
- 5 A Correct.
- Q And you started your new company Jones Fire before you resigned from Thompson Safety?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q You started Jones Fire, three months before you resigned 10 from Thompson Safety, right?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- 12 Q Why did you resign from Thompson Safety then?
- A Well, I still had bills to pay, I still needed a job. It was just a thought.
- Q So you wanted to keep receiving a pay check from Thompson
  Safety while you set up in your competing business?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q When you started Jones Fire, you knew it was going to be a competitor of Thompson Safety?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q And that was the point, right? That was the point of starting the business?
- 23 A No.
- Q The point was not to be a competitor of Thompson Safety?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q Do you consider Jones Fire to be a competitor of Thompson 2 Safety?
- 3 A Yes.
- Q What is the name of the first customer you serviced for
  Jones Fire -- and of course this is confidential with respect
  to (indiscernible).
- 7 A Homewood Suites.
- Q And was that before or after you resigned from Thompson
  Safety?
- 10 A Afterwards.
- 11 Q Was Homewood Suites a customer that you serviced while at 12 Thompson Safety?
- 13 A No.
- Q Okay. Do you deny servicing Thompson Safety customers on behalf of Jones Fire?
- 16 A No.
- Q Do you deny servicing Sterling customers on behalf of

  Jones Fire or the customers that were Sterling customers that

  became Thompson Safety customers?
- 20 A No.
- Q What is the name of the first Thompson Safety customer that you serviced for Jones Fire?
- 23 A I honestly couldn't recall.
- Q Did you start servicing customers for Thompson Safety -withdraw the question.

- 1 Did you start servicing customers for Jones Fire before 2 you resigned from Thompson Safety? 3 Α No. 4 How many Thompson Safety customers have you serviced on 5 behalf of Jones Fire? 6 Approximately less than five. 7 And how many Sterling customers have you serviced on 8 behalf of Jones Fire?
- 9 A About 100.
- Q And are any of those 105 customers, customers you serviced while you were Thompson Safety?
  - A No.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q Are any of those -- so none of the customers that you serviced -- is it your testimony that you were not -- you've not serviced any customer who was a customer of Thompson Safety?
- A I'm sorry, repeat that.
- Q Is it your testimony that Jones, you or your company
  Jones Fire, have not serviced any customers who were Thompson
  Safety customers?
- 21 A I have serviced customers that used to be Thompson Safety
  22 customers.
- 23 Q Is that 105 of them you said?
- 24 A Just a rough estimate.
- Q Okay, so of those 105 customers, were those customers

- 1 that were Thompson Safety customers before you serviced them?
- 2 A Less than five of them were Thompson customers. The rest
- 3 have never been billed under Thompson.
- 4 Q Okay, but they were Sterling customers?
- 5 A Correct. (Indiscernible).
- 6 Q Okay. So I'm not -- are any of the customers that you or
- Jones Fire have serviced, were any of those customers that you
- 8 serviced were Sterling or for Thompson Safety?
- 9 A I don't understand your question.
- ${\tt Q}$  You had a relationship with some of these customers that
- 11 you -- that you established or billed while at Sterling and/or
- 12 Thompson Safety, right?
- 13 A Correct. They were (indiscernible) for Sterling.
- 14 Q Do you recall Mr. Roesler testifying about a conversation
- a customer told him that you had about the lawsuit and about
- technicians no having a licenses or interns, or something like
- 17 that?

- 18 A I don't recall.
  - Q Do you recall Mr. Roesler testimony about that?
- 20 A Yes. Yes.
- 21 Q Do you deny telling a customer that Thompson Safety
- 22 employees are not licensed or didn't have insurance or
- 23 something like that?
- 24 A I do not deny it.
- 25 Q And you said that to try to get the business?

- 1 A No.
- A I don't know who you're talking about, so I can't say yes
- 4 or no.
- 5 Q Okay. Do you deny soliciting or calling on customers at
- 6 Thompson Safety or Sterling after the Court entered the TRO?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q So, okay, so you deny calling on Thompson Safety
- 9 customers after the TRO was entered?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And you deny calling on Sterling customers after the TRO
- was entered?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q You don't deny that?
- 15 A I don't deny calling on Sterling customers, no.
- 16 Q After the TRO was entered?
- 17 A Correct. I don't remember the exact date, but I believe
- 18 you're correct.
- 19 Q And when you were at Thompson Safety, you had access to
- 20 route cards?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Those route cards had the schedule frequency for each
- customer that needs to be serviced?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Did you make copies of those route cards before you

1 resigned from Thompson Safety? 2 Yes, I was in charge of printing them. 3 So you knew what upcoming customers would need to be 4 serviced? 5 Correct. But I've been doing it for years, so it's not 6 even necessary. 7 So you had -- you had Thompson's pricing and you had the 8 route cards? 9 Thompson's pricing is completely independent of Jones 10 Fire's pricing. 11 That's not my question. My question or my question is --12 okay, I'll ask a more precise question. 13 My question is you have Thompson Safety pricing, you have 14 Sterling pricing and you have the route cards, right? 15 Α Yes. 16 And you're aware that the Court entered the Temporary 17 Restraining Order against you on July 24th, 2024? 18 Α Yes. 19 MR. LOMBARDINO: And I'd like to have share my 20 screen. Okay, there it is. 21 THE COURT: We're turning it on now. 22 (Pause in the proceeding.) 23 MR. LOMBARDINO: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 BY MR. LOMBARDINO: 25 Okay, can you see the screen in front of me?

1 Α Yes. 2 Q Have you seen this document or a copy of it before? 3 Α Yes. 4 And for the Record what I've pulled up is the order TRO 5 granting -- the order granting application for a restraining order. I'm just referring to it as TRO for short. And it's 6 7 Docket No. 13, Docket No. 13. 8 Okay, so I'm going to scroll down a little bit. 9 Looking at page 2 of the TRO there are certain 10 requirements that the Court ordered that you must comply with. 11 And I'm going to go through a few of them. 12 The first one is that Defendant -- which is both you and 13 your co-defendant -- are enjoined from breaching the 14 employment agreement. 15 Do you understand that was in the TRO order? 16 Α Yes. 17 And did you take steps to comply with paragraph 1 on 18 page 2 of the TRO order? 19 It's hard to comply with a vague TRO. Α 20 0 So the answer is no? 21 Α No. 22 And then paragraph number 2 says that Defendants are 23 enjoined from continuing to employ Jones Fire. 24 Are you and Mr. Jackson still employed by Jones Fire? 25 Α Yes.

1 And I'll skip three because you've already testified to 2 that. And I'm skipping four because you've already testified 3 to that. 4 Step five. In paragraph 7 it orders you and Mr. Jackson 5 to return all Thompson and that includes Sterling interation 6 and documents. 7 Did you comply with paragraph 7 on page 3 of the TRO 8 order? 9 Uh-huh. Yes. Α 10 What did you do to comply? 11 I don't have any Thompson property. Α 12 How do you not have any Thompson documents? 0 13 Α A lot of Thompson -- I've had Thompson documents --14 THE COURT: One second counsel. Can you-all speak 15 The ERO can't hear you. And maybe move the microphone up? 16 closer to you and speak a little bit clearer, everyone. 17 THE WITNESS: Sure. Okay. 18 Yes, I have Thompson documents. 19 MR. LOMBARDINO: I just switched microphones. 20 Hopefully I come through clearer, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Yeah, it's clearer. Okay. 22 BY MR. LOMBARDINO: 23 So what steps have you taken to return the Sterling 24 documents and the Thompson documents to Thompson? 25 Α None.

1	Q Okay, paragraph 8 says Defendants must also turn over
2	their computers, cell phones, and accounts for forensic
3	analysis. Have you or Mr. Jackson complied with paragraph 8
4	of the Court's TRO?
5	A No.
6	Q Okay.
7	MR. LOMBARDINO: Pass the witness.
8	THE COURT: You can do Direct at this time, counsel
9	for Defendants.
10	MR. CRESCENZO: Okay, thank you, Judge.
11	DIRECT/CROSS-EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. CRESCENZO:
13	Q Mr. Jones, you indicated that you have Thompson documents
14	in your possession?
15	A Yes.
16	Q What Thompson documents do you have in your possession?
17	A Probably a record of their employee handbook. That's
18	about all that I know.
19	Q To your knowledge that's the only document you have in
20	your possession?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Did you do work for Thompson Safety up until the point
23	in the time frame between when you started, when you
24	incorporated Jones Fire and submitted your resignation to
25	Thompson?

- 1 A Can you repeat the question?
- Q Sure. Did you -- you indicated or did you continue to do
  work on behalf of Thompson Safety in between the time that you
  started Jones Fire and resigned from them?
  - A I did not do any work for Jones Fire prior to my resignation from Thompson.
  - Q Did you do exclusively work for Thompson Safety up until your resignation?
  - A Correct.
- 10 Q Did you do that work to the best of your ability?
- 11 A Yes.

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 12 Q Did you email a copy of the Thompson price list to yourself?
  - A Yes.
    - Q Okay. Let me finish my question it's going to be confusing for everybody on the (indiscernible) to hear that.

So you did -- you emailed yourself the price list. Why did you email yourself the price list?

A Because at the start of the new year they increased. They had a price increase and I wasn't sure what I should charge the customers. And they are sometimes where I'm in a remote area where I don't have access to pull up the documents that they sent to me.

And they sent it to everybody. So I printed it so that I could have access to give the customers that information if

1	they requested it from me.
2	Q Have you ever used any information on that price list in
3	furtherance of your work for Jones Fire?
4	A No. That was independent from Jones Fire.
5	Q To your knowledge what is the amount of gross revenue
6	that Jones Fire has made up until this point?
7	A Gross revenue, about 80,000.
8	Q Do you know what your net revenue is?
9	A Yes.
10	Q If this injunction is entered, how is that going to
11	affect you?
12	A My life this is my livelihood now. My business.
13	Q Do you have a family?
14	A I do. I am expecting a baby in two months and lots of
15	animals.
16	MR. CRESCENZO: No further questions, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Counsel, you may also Direct this
18	witness.
19	MR. WINKELMAN: I don't have any questions.
20	THE COURT: Oh. No questions or next I couldn't
21	hear.
22	MR. WINKELMAN: I have no questions, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Lombardino?
24	MR. LOMBARDINO: Just one or two questions, Your
25	Honor, if I may?

## 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. LOMBARDINO: 3 So you mentioned earlier that you do have Thompson 4 documents and that you do have Sterling documents, correct? 5 Correct. 6 And we don't really know what you have or what you don't 7 have since you did not comply with the Court's order to turn 8 over your devices and accounts, right? 9 Correct. 10 Do you agree that you're in the position to use the 11 pricing list because you were in possession of it? 12 No. Α 13 You don't agree that you were in a position to use it? 14 Α No. 15 Did you delete it? Q 16 I've never -- yes, I have deleted it. I don't have it 17 anymore. 18 When did you delete it? 19 Probably right when I left. 20 0 Okay, so we can't confirm that because you haven't turned 21 your device over for forensic analysis, right? 22 Yeah, because my property doesn't belong to 23 (indiscernible). 24 You believe the pricing list was your property?

25

Α

No.

1 MR. LOMBARDINO: No further questions, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Anything further from Defendants? 3 I have no questions for this MR. WINKELMAN: 4 witness, Your Honor. 5 MR. CRESCENZO: Nothing for me either, Judge. THE COURT: Then this may witness may be excused. 6 7 (Witness steps down.) 8 THE COURT: Before we get to the next witness, I 9 just want to know, am I going to hear any evidence from any 10 client -- any clients in this case that the Defendants 11 allegedly talked to or spoke with or, you know, gave any 12 information to from their former employer? 13 Have you decided -- I mean, it's not -- I'm not 14 asking for one, I just want to know am I going to hear that 15 any testimony from your side about that? 16 MR. WINKELMAN: Not from us, Your Honor. 17 MR. LOMBARDINO: I'm not understanding your 18 questions, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Well, what the issue is that the 20 Defendants are taking this information and then using it to 21 solicit clients or undercut your client in competing for the 22 business of those clients. And I was just curious whether or 23 not I'm going to hear any information from the client's 24 perspective.

MR. LOMBARDINO: So we are not prepared at this time

to call any clients especially in this hearing. But we also don't have the discovery that was ordered to be produced to know whether certain communications were had or not had, et cetera.

So it sort of deprived us to explore that issue.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LOMBARDINO: And that's one of the things that I will talk about in argument. But you wanted to jump into evidence. And so we just kind of skipped that part of it.

THE COURT: Great. Thank you. That's what I needed to hear.

Okay. Ms. Gad or Mr. Lombardino, you may call your next witness.

MR. LOMBARDINO: Okay, so this just to speed things up. Counsel -- the only two questions I was going to ask Mr. Jackson is that, you know, whether or not he admits signing the employment agreement. Because I don't want to belabor, but I just -- all we went through. But if you-all could stipulate that he did sign them, then I'm just going to not call him and you can tell him.

MR. CRESCENZO: Yeah, we can stipulate. I stipulate that he signed the agreement.

MR. LOMBARDINO: Okay, and I reserve the right to Cross, but I'll just let you-all do it and if I don't feel like it, it might save us some time.

1	MR. CRESCENZO: That's good.
2	MR. WINKELMAN: Thank you, Michael.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Great. So, are there any other
4	witnesses?
5	MR. WINKELMAN: So Your Honor, Lee Winkelman. I'm
6	going to call Justin Jackson.
7	MR. LOMBARDINO: So we'll I guess we'll,
8	quote/unquote, "rest" our case.
9	THE COURT: You can call him in your case, but they
10	can direct him, so you're good.
11	MR. LOMBARDINO: Yeah, yeah. Okay.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Jackson, if you could raise your
13	right hand?
14	(Witness sworn.)
15	THE COURT: And before you start, counsel. I just
16	need to take a three-minute break. I want to grab a bottle of
17	water. I've been talking all afternoon. And then we'll be
18	right back, okay? And maybe you guys can take a quick break
19	as well.
20	MR. LOMBARDINO: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: No problem.
22	(Recess taken from 5:35 p.m. to 5:45 p.m.)
23	THE COURT: Okay, Counsel. This is Judge Hanks.
24	I'm back again.
25	MR. LOMBARDINO: Thank you, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Okay, you may continue. I know I just
2	swore in the witness, so you may proceed.
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. WINKELMAN:
5	Q Great, Mr. Jackson, can you please introduce yourself for
6	the Record?
7	A Justin Jackson.
8	Q You worked for Sterling actually two separate times,
9	didn't you?
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q Okay, I want to talk about the first time you worked for
12	Sterling. When you did you start working for Sterling
13	initially?
14	A Approximately April of 2011.
15	Q Okay. And do you remember signing an employment
16	agreement with them when you started?
17	A Yes, sir. Back in April of '11.
18	Q Were you aware at the time that you signed it that it
19	contained a provision that dealt with the use of certain
20	information?
21	A No, sir.
22	Q Okay. So you're not aware that it had a confidentiality
23	agreement in it?
24	A No, sir.
25	Q Were you aware that it had a non-compete?

1 Yes, sir. Α 2 And what was your understanding of the non-compete? 3 We couldn't work for a competitor that performed the same 4 services as Sterling. 5 Okay. 6 THE COURT: Okay, counsel. I'm sorry. We can't 7 hear. You're going to have to move the microphone a little 8 bit closer or speak up. 9 MR. WINKELMAN: Okay. We're as close as we can get. 10 I huddle over here, so I'm going to try to be loud. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 BY MR. WINKELMAN: 13 Okay, so do you recall how long the non-compete was for? 14 Α Two years. 15 Okay. And what kind of work did you do for Sterling? 16 I initially started off doing first aid the first few 17 months -- four or five months. And then I transferred over to 18 doing fire and safety. 19 Okay. You worked as a fire technician; is that correct? 20 Α Yes, sir. 21 Okay. And could you just briefly explain what servicing 22 fire extinguishers involves? 23 I would go into the customer. I would check the 24 extinguisher, make sure there was no damage, make sure that

the gauge was still in the green. I would check the date of

- the extinguisher to see the age of it to see if there was any additional service work that needed to be provided to it.
- Q Okay. And were you -- was part of your job to maintain the business relationship with the customer?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. Now was part of your job there to offer other
  products and services?
- 8 A No, sir. It pertained to fire extinguishers or the fire 9 part of the business. No, sir.
- Q Okay. And the first time that you worked there, were you working full time or part time?
- 12 A Full time.
- Q Okay, and when did you leave Sterling for the first time?
- 14 A December 31st of 2014.
- Okay. And so where did you go to work?
- 16 A I went to work full time at a private immigration 17 facility.
- 18 Q Okay. So your non-compete then with Sterling would have 19 run in 2016; is that correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And did you come back to work for Sterling ultimately?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q When was that?
- 24 A The beginning of November 2016.
- Q And did you sign another agreement when you came back?

1 A No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 Q Okay. When did you start working for Thompson?
- A September 5th, I believe it was, of 2023 when they acquired Sterling.
  - Q Was your work for Thompson different from what you were doing at Sterling?
  - A It was servicing fire extinguishers.
  - Q Okay. Was it different working for Thompson than it was working for Sterling?
  - A Yes, it was different.
- 11 Q How so?
  - A Sterling was more of a small mom and pop company where you could build a relationships with the staff and build relationships with the customers. We had time to talk to the customers to build that personal relationship with them versus with Thompson they were more worried about just making the money and they'd rush you from customer to the next and we didn't have time to build any kind of relationship with any of the Thompson customers.
  - Q Got you. Okay.
  - Did Thompson's prices increase whenever they took over?

    I'm sorry, scratch that, strike that.
  - When Thompson took over for Sterling, were their prices higher than Sterlings?
  - A Yes.

- Okay. Do you remember signing an employment agreement with Thompson?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q When did you sign that?
- A September 5th, the day that they acquired -- that we were told that they acquired Sterling. We were told that we had to sign it if we wanted to stay employed.
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And how was it given to you?
- 12 A On a tablet for us to sign.
- Q Okay. Did they give you time to read it before you signed it?
- 15 A Not really.
- 16 Q Did you ever get a copy of it?
- A Not that I recall. I never got a paper copy of anything.
- 18 And I don't recall getting an email.
- Q At the time that you signed it, were you aware that it contained a confidentiality provision that you required you to keep certain information secret?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q How about a non-compete?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Now did anyone at Thompson go through the agreement with

- 1 you to explain it to you?
- 2 A No, not of the confidential. None of that was explained.
- 3 Q None of the terms of the agreement were?
- 4 A No, sir.
- Okay. And when you worked for Thompson was that on a
- full time basis or a part time basis?
- 7 A Part time.
- Q Okay. So you were working part time for Thompson. And about how many hours were you working?
- 10 A It varied. Some weeks it would liable to be 20 hours.
  11 Other weeks liable to be 30.
- 12 Q And how much were you making?
- 13 A Seventeen an hour.
- Q So on a monthly basis, about how much is that?
- A Any where from 15 to 2,000 a month.
- 16 Q 1500?
- 17 A 1500 to 2000 a month.
- Q Okay, can you talk about what you did for Thompson?
- 19 A I would go and inspect the extinguishers, check the
- 20 dates, make sure there was no damage to the extinguishers. If
- 21 there was any work that needed to be performed other than
- inspections, then we would swap them out.
- Q All right. And still responsible for servicing the
- 24 customers?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

- 1 Q I believe you testified earlier though that there wasn't 2 must establishment of customer relationships, correct?
  - A No, sir. I didn't establish any relationships really with any of the Thompson customers because we were always being rushed to go to the next one. They were more worried about bringing money in than actually building a relationship.
  - Q And what areas -- what geographic areas did you work in for Thompson?
  - A North Houston, Conroe, Woodlands. The same place that we covered for Sterling. Any of the Thompson customers I did most of those were either close to their office on Wakehaven (phonetic) or further south.
  - Q Okay. So really in the North Houston area?
  - A North Houston was all Sterling customers. There was only a few Thompson that I took care of.
  - Q Okay. Did you work anywhere else? Any other areas?
  - A I'd been sent out to Orange to do a customer that nobody else wanted to do.
  - Q Okay. Did you ever went as far as Dallas or anything, did you?
  - A No.

- Q Were you responsible for offering other products and services that Thompson provided to these customers other than fire extinguishers?
- 25 A Just fire.

- 1 Q Were you responsible for bringing in any business?
- 2 A No, they wouldn't allow us to bring in new business.
- 3 That was a different department.
- Q Now when Thompson bought Sterling and they took over, did customers leave Thompson initially?
  - A Yes, there were several Sterling customers that left.
- Q Okay. And what was your understanding as to why they left?
  - A The pricing was significantly higher, so they didn't want to pay the higher prices. And they also wanted to deal with a smaller mom and pop, so they could have that personal relationship versus just being a number or just being a client of a larger company. They preferred the personal relationship.
    - Q Okay. Now while at Thompson, did you have a company phone there?
- 17 A No.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 18 Q Okay. What phone did you use?
- 19 A My personal.
- Q So the Thompson clients have your personal cell phone number?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Okay. If they were to call you then now wanting to -wanting to talk to somebody at Thompson, it would go to your
  personal cell phone?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Have you had any clients call since you left?
- 3 A Yes.

8

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 4 Q What did you tell them?
- 5 A I told them they needed to contact the Thompson office.
- 6 Q Okay. Who are you currently employed by?
- 7 A Jones Fire Protection.
  - Q When did you begin working there?
- 9 A April of '24.
- 10 Q Are you working there full or part time?
- 11 A Part time.
- 12 Q About how much are you making?
- 13 A 500 a week.
- Q And why did you go -- why did you leave Thompson to go work for Jones Fire?
  - A I left from Thompson due to the fact that they had service technicians that had a fire license that were passing their license off to non-licensed employees for them to go do inspections. And I didn't want to get caught up in any of the legal ramifications of that. And I had had a take home vehicle to begin with.

And when the new GM took over, I had that vehicle pulled where I was no longer allowed to take it home. So it was no longer financially viable for me to make the drive down there in my personal vehicle versus using a company vehicle.

1 Okay, so you were concerned about your own, I quess, 2 liability for criminal violations that were being committed? 3 Yes, sir. I didn't want to get drawn up in an 4 investigation or have to deal with any of that due to other 5 Thompson employees doing illegal activities. Did you actually ultimate make a complaint to the Fire 6 7 Marshal about that? 8 Α Yes. 9 Do you know what happened with that? 10 I do not. I haven't heard back. 11 When you were at Sterling or Thompson, the supplies 12 either place, did you ever receive any sort of specialized 13 training? 14 Α No. 15 Okay. At Sterling or Thompson did you ever receive any 16 training on what was considered to be secret or confidential 17 information? 18 Α No. 19 At Sterling or Thompson, did you ever receive any 20 training on what company information should be shared and what 21 company information should not be shared? 22 Α No. 23 Did anyone at Sterling or Thompson ever discuss 24 confidential information with you and the need to keep it 25 secret?

1 Α No. 2 Did you receive any training at Sterling or Thompson of 3 what was considered a trade secret? 4 Α No. 5 Did anyone at Sterling or Thompson ever discuss trade secrets with you and the need to keep it secret? 6 7 No. Α 8 Okay. Did you ever receive, while at Sterling or 9 Thompson, any document ever marked as confidential? 10 No. 11 Did you ever receive anything that you were told to keep 12 confidential? 13 Α No. 14 Did you ever receive anything that you were told was a 15 trade secret? 16 No. 17 Okay. Are you aware of any policy that Sterling or 18 Thompson that would indicate what information -- company 19 information should or should not be shared? 20 Α No. 21 While at Sterling or Thompson, did you ever receive 22 compilations of market information? 23 No. 24 Q Did you ever receive a customer list?

25

Α

No.

1 At Sterling or Thompson, did you ever receive any 2 business plans? 3 Α No. 4 At Sterling or Thompson, did you ever receive any 5 internal financial statements? 6 Α No. 7 At Sterling or Thompson, did you ever receive any 8 internal financial analysis? 9 Α No. 10 At Sterling or Thompson, did you ever receive any 11 personal files or evaluations? 12 No. Α 13 While you were at Sterling or Thompson, did you ever 14 receive any internal cost information? 15 Α No. 16 Let me ask you a question. Can you determine Thompson's 17 customers from publicly available information? 18 Α Yes. 19 And how would you go about doing that? 20 I can go on their website and under the customer reviews 21 they've seen in order to know which customers they take care 22 of. Or I can simply get information by walking in any 23 business that has fire extinguishers and looking at the tag to 24 know if Thompson services them or if another company does.

And to also find out when they're due.

1	Q Okay. Right, which would you give some clue as to what
2	the that customer's needs are, correct?
3	A Correct.
4	Q So you're able to find customer information and customer
5	needs publicly?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And how about pricing? Could you get the pricing
8	information publicly?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And how would you go about doing that?
11	A The customer would give it to me.
12	Q Let me ask you another question. Final coming up here
13	on the end. Would you suffer any economic hardship if you
14	were unable to work for Jones Fire?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay, how so?
17	A I rely on the income from there to help pay my bills.
18	Q So you would be unable to support your family?
19	A Correct. Yes.
20	Q Okay.
21	MR. WINKELMAN: No further questions.
22	I pass the witness.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. LOMBARDINO:
25	Q So you were kind of asking questions about how you

1 could -- how you could find a Sterling or Thompson customer, 2 Sterling customer. And you talked about you could go just go 3 into and look at the tag. 4 Do you remember him asking you about that? 5 Α Yes. But that's not what you-all did, right? You-all didn't 6 7 do that? 8 I have knowledge of the customers for the simple that 9 I've done this for over a decade. 10 Right, exactly. And your employment agreement, it does 11 contain a provision about confidentiality, right? You don't 12 deny that? 13 I don't know if it's in there or not. 14 When you were given the employment agreement to sign, did 15 you ask for an opportunity to read it? 16 They were rushing us through it to sign it or not be 17 employed. 18 When you were given the employment agreement to sign, did 19 you ask for an opportunity to read it? 20 We were rushed through it so I didn't get an opportunity 21 to read it because they were rushing us to sign a bunch of 22 different documents at the time that we were acquired. 23 They --24 Did you ask for an opportunity to read it?

Again, I would like to read it, but they were still

1 rushing us to sign it. 2 Did you ask for a copy of it? They said they was supposed to give us a copy and I never 3 4 received -- I know I didn't receive a hard copy and I don't 5 recall getting an email copy either. 6 Did you ask for a copy of it? 7 No, because they said they were going to send it to us. 8 I don't need to ask if they're offering to send it to me. 9 (Pause in the proceeding.) 10 Sterling customers are Thompson customers, right? 11 Sterling customers are Thompson customers? Α 12 Well, when Thompson bought Sterling, didn't Sterling 0 13 customers become Thompson customers; as far as you know? 14 They never did business with Thompson and it 15 wouldn't be a Thompson customer. 16 But Thompson purchased Sterling's customer relationships 17 as part of the transaction when Thompson bought Sterling. Did 18 you understand that? 19 They may have bought the business relationship, but they 20 didn't buy my personal relationship at all. 21 And would you agree with me that that's the point of a 22 non-solicit is to help protect the personal relationships that 23 you built on behalf of Sterling, on behalf of Thompson? If the customer denied and didn't want to be serviced 24

with Thompson, then I wouldn't be taking anything away from

1 Thompson once I leave Thompson. 2 But on the other hand, there's other companies that the 3 customer can go to for services besides Jones Fire, right? 4 Right. 5 So if they're unhappy with Thompson or Sterling, there's 6 plenty of other companies that they can go to? 7 Correct. Α 8 And what customer reviews were you talking about online? 9 If you go to the Thompson website, they encourage the 10 customer to leave reviews about Thompson. That tells me who 11 the customer is and who they service, doesn't it? 12 So does -- is it your testimony that all of Thompson's 13 customers and all of Sterling's customers are on the website? 14 I did not say all of them. I said some. 15 And is the review the person's name or is it their name, 16 their contact information, who is in charge of making 17 decisions about your current fire equipment, et cetera? 18 It's the company name and some of them have the name of 19 the person that left it. So if they're the ones that left it, 20 common sense would tell you most likely they are either in 21 purchasing or the person that makes that decision. 22 Okay. And are you aware that compilations of publicly 23 available information can be a trade secret? 24 MR. WINKELMAN: Objection, that calls for a legal

25

conclusion.

1 THE COURT: The objection was -- I'm sorry I couldn't hear it. 2 3 MR. WINKELMAN: It calls for a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Objection overruled. 4 5 The witness can answer with respect to his own 6 understanding. Any legal testimony he provides, the Court 7 won't accept. 8 Okay, you may proceed. 9 BY MR. LOMBARDINO: 10 Are you aware that compilations of publicly available 11 information can be considered trade secrets? 12 No. Α 13 I'm sorry? 14 Α No. 15 And the Sterling customers since -- I've noticed you and 16 Mr. Jones are distinguishing between Sterling customers and 17 Thompson customers. So I'm asking about Sterling customers. 18 My question will start now. Sterling customers that you 19 claim left, were those after Thompson took over but before 20 Jones left, Jones Fire was started. Were those customers that 21 you were referring to your customers? 22 What do you mean by "my" customers? 23 Well, okay. The customer relationship -- the customer 24 relationships that you managed --25 Α Okay.

1 -- filling in for Thompson and I know that most of them 2 were, historically speaking, for Sterling, right? 3 Okay. 4 So when your attorney was asking you questions, one of 5 the things that you said was that there were Sterling 6 customers that left once Thompson took over. 7 Do you understand saying that? 8 Α Yes. 9 Okay, those Sterling customers that left, were those 10 customers that you were managing? 11 Customers that I took care of? Yes. 12 Okay. Of the -- to the best of your knowledge of the 105 13 or so customers that -- strike that. 14 To the best of your knowledge how many customers does 15 Jones Fire have that are not former Thompson customers or 16 former Sterling Customers? 17 I would not know that. 18 Can you give me the name of one customer that you know --19 that you would know of that is not a former Sterling or former 20 Thompson customer? 21 I couldn't do that because I don't know all the customers 22 that Jones takes care of. 23 Right. So I'm talking of the customers that you take of. 24 Are any of the customers that you take care of -- were any of 25 them -- and I'm talking about while -- now that you're at

Jones Fire.

2	A Uh-huh.
3	Q Any of the customers that you take care of, are there any
4	of that were not former Thompson customers or former Sterling
5	customers?
6	A Not that I can think of off the top of my head.
7	Q And do you remember the questioning that I was asking
8	Mr. Jones about the TRO order and whether taking any steps to
9	comply with the TRO order?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Have you taken any steps to comply with the TRO?
12	A No.
13	MR. LOMBARDINO: Pass the witness.
14	MR. CRESCENZO: I have no questions, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
16	MR. CRESCENZO: I said I have no questions.
17	THE COURT: You have no questions? I couldn't hear.
18	I'm sorry.
19	MR. WINKELMAN: Right, Your Honor. He said he has
20	no questions. I think we can be done with this witness.
21	THE COURT: Okay. I couldn't hear. I'm sorry.
22	MR. WINKELMAN: I believe we're done with the
23	witness, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Great. This witness may be
25	excused then. Thank you, sir.

(Witness steps down.)

THE COURT: The next witness? Mr. Lombardino or Ms. Gad?

MR. LOMBARDINO: We have no further witnesses to call. We assumed that was going to be that trial hearing and just load up and just have tons of witnesses, so. That's all who we are prepared to call at this time.

THE COURT: Great.

And Defendants? Any other witnesses that you wish to call?

MR. WINKELMAN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, then. Well, thank you-all then for your time and patience. What I'd like for you to do is submit to me just a brief two-page summary argument based on the evidence that you heard -- that the Court heard that the parties presented. And how that evidence supports the arguments that you made in your briefing before the temporary injunction and then in opposition to the temporary injunction.

And if you can get that on file by next Tuesday, then I will get an answer back to you by the end of next week.

MR. WINKELMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. LOMBARDINO: Thank you, Your Honor.

The one point that I'd like to make is that the TRO that you implemented expired yesterday. So there currently is no order in place restricting anything and then we also still

have to deal with the issue of the devices not -- never being turned over. Is that -- I know we're here late and everyone needs to get going, but you know, is that something that we can talk about right now or?

THE COURT: Sure, we can talk about it. I guess the first thing is, I think the parties need to sit down and figure out, you know, how to maintain the status quo until the Court gets a ruling. I can't get a ruling right now for you.

I like to hear -- I like to see, you know, what you believe the evidence showed. But I want it in writing. I don't want to do this by oral argument.

So, I think the parties need to get together and maintain the status quo. So basically the changing at this point doesn't help anyone. I think that the parties need to maintain the status quo until I can get your briefing and then I'll get an answer back as quickly as I can.

If either side -- I don't know if one side is, you know, using trade secret information. I don't know if it is or it's not happening. It's not clear to me yet. I want to hear your arguments.

But I think that the parties should have some middle ground as to what should or should not be done. I mean, the TRO is expired. I had conditions on the TRO. You know, those weren't complied with, but then Defendant's argument is, is that they weren't there for the hearing. Didn't know about

them. Didn't understand everything. Thought they were vague.

I can deal with that a little later from arguments from the side. But basically I need you guys to get together to maintain the status quo until I can get a ruling out.

If you can't do that, let me know and I'll still get the ruling out as quickly as I can.

MR. LOMBARDINO: Well, --

THE COURT: I think that's the first step.

MR. LOMBARDINO: With respect, there is no -- they never complied with the status quo is that they're going to keep doing everything that they've been doing. That's okay.

THE COURT: Well, have you -- no, no. Have you sat down and talked to them about what they're doing and whether or not there's some middle ground that you guys can reach?

MR. LOMBARDINO: We --

THE COURT: I don't know. Have you done that?

MR. LOMBARDINO: We will make a concerted effort to do that. We did propose some potential resolutions back and forth. And, you know, if we need to try to do that. But I think the parties kind of had our vastly different on -- have that vastly different perspectives on what's going on.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I can't get a ruling out to you any quicker than next week. So, you know, the bottom line is I think it's in everyone's best interest to try to agree -- reach some sort of temporary agreement until I can get a

ruling out.

Not reaching an agreement doesn't really help anyone. So I think you probably need to sit down and talk about it. But regardless whether you enter an agreement or not, I can't get you an answer back tonight. And I can't get you an answer back tomorrow.

The earliest I'll get an answer back to you is on Tuesday. And that's realistic. So, I would encourage you to get together and talk to each other and have a conversation about how to maintain the status quo.

Maybe if you start talking you might agree. I mean, the Defendants believe they're not doing anything wrong. You believe they're doing things wrong. Maybe you can come up with some areas of agreement as to what both sides agree that should not be done.

Like taking customer lists and using that pricing information. Probably not -- shouldn't be done. I don't know if it is or not, but probably shouldn't be. So I think there's some area where the parties could probably reach an agreement.

And if you can't, you can't. That's great. And then you know, I'll rule and move on.

MR. WINKELMAN: Mike, I think we can -- I think there's more common ground than you think.

MR. LOMBARDINO: Okay.

1 THE COURT: I bet you there is.

MR. WINKELMAN: Yeah, we'll continue those conversations.

THE COURT: I think that's where you need to start. Usually what happens in these cases -- and you guys are good lawyers. You know you've done it a thousand times like me. You need to get the parties together and start with, okay, what is it that we all agree that should not be done, you know, under the terms of the non-compete agreement or the covenants.

That's where the parties typically start. You know, what do we all agree we should not be doing or no one should be doing. Pretty much that -- there is some common ground there because, you know, very rarely does one side say you know what? I want the entire covenants opt out. It's not going to be applicable.

I'm willing to die on this sword and say that I'm never going to comply with any -- I'm going to use information as I want. I'm going to see as many clients as I want and do whatever I want because the covenants just not -- is void.

Happens every now and then, but very rarely.

Usually everybody agrees that there's certain things that the parties should not be doing. And you start from there.

And then from there -- that's typically in all these cases. And then from there see how far you can get. But

bottom line is I'm not going to be able to rule until next Tuesday. So between now and then, it's good for you to talk, one. And then two, get me no more than a three-page brief summarizing what you believe the evidence to show this afternoon and how that evidence supports the claims that you've made.

MR. WINKELMAN: Your Honor, just to clarify that could be filed anytime on Tuesday?

THE COURT: It needs to be filed by Monday.

MR. WINKELMAN: Monday, okay.

THE COURT: Yes, Monday. Because Tuesday I'm going to try to -- if you guys haven't reached any agreement, then I'm going to rule as quick as I can on Tuesday. If you reach an agreement, it'll probably be Tuesday evening -- I mean, Tuesday morning.

But if you haven't reached an agreement -- well, if you have reached an agreement the answer will probably be Tuesday evening. If you haven't reached an agreement then I'm going to get to it first thing on Tuesday.

Well, not first thing. I will get it out first thing on Tuesday.

MR. CRESCENZO: Great, thank you, Your Honor.

MR. WINKELMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. No problem. Thank you-all for your patience. I know its been a long afternoon. But it been

1 even longer for the lawyers that are coming up next. So you 2 guys have a great evening and we'll talk again on Tuesday. 3 And if you have any -- and if there's anything you 4 think that I can help you with, please let me know. 5 MR. WINKELMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. CRESCENZO: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Take care everyone. You may be excused. 8 Good night. 9 (Proceeding adjourned at 6:14 p.m.) 10 11 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript 12 to the best of my ability produced from the electronic sound 13 recording of the Zoom proceedings in the above-entitled 14 matter. /S./ MARY D. HENRY 15 16 CERTIFIED BY THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF 17 ELECTRONIC REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS, CET\*\*337 18 JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC 19 JTT TRANSCRIPT #69001 20 DATE FILED: AUGUST 11, 2024 21 22 23 24 25